Before citing the views of the Kullābiyyah Ashʿariyyah on the Qur'ān, an excerpt from the excellent work of Abu Naṣr al-Sijzī'(d. 444H) in this regard is important as it provides vital historical background.

He - حمه الله - wrote: 993

So when Ibn Kullab and his likes emerged and tried to refute the Mu'tazilah through the path of pure reason without being wellversed in the foundations of the Sunnah and nor in what the Salaf were upon, and nor did they seek proof through the reports narrated in that (subject), due to their claim that they are akhbār āḥād which do not amount to knowledge, the Muʿtazilah made binding upon them (to accept) that there is agreement that speech (kalām) is letter and voice, and that it contains succession, sequence (ta'āqub) and composition (ta'līf), and that this is not found in what is observed except that it is with motion (harakah), rest (sukūn), and that it is necessary that is has parts (ajzā', ab'ād), and that whatever is like this is not permitted to be from the attributes of the essence of Allah, because the essence of Allah, the Sublime, is not described with union (ijtimā'), separation (iftirāq), and whole (kull) and part (ba'd), and motion (harakah) and rest (sukūn). And the ruling upon an attribute of the essence, is a ruling upon the essence too. They (the Mu'tazilah) said: So it is known through these points that the kalām that is annexed to Allāh, the Sublime, is a creation of His which He brought about and then ascribed to Himself, just as you say, "the servant of Allah", and "the creation of Allāh" and "the action of Allāh." 994

9

As for their saying that speech does not occur except with a cavity, mouth, two lips, a tongue and instruments, then has not Allāh said to the heavens and earth, "'Come both of you willingly or unwillingly.' They both said, 'We come, willingly.'" (41:11), do you see that they spoke with a cavity, a mouth, two lips, a tongue and

 $^{^{993}}$ Al-Radd 'alā man Ankara al-Ḥarf wal-Ṣawt (taḥqīq, Muḥammad Bā Karīm Bā 'Abd Allāh, Dār al-Rāyah, 1994), p. 80-81.

This position of the Muʿtazilah (claiming they affirm speech for Allāh, but in the manner described) was taken as *taqiyah* (deception) in order to pevent scorn from being poured upon them, just like their affirmation of the Names of Allāh (devoid of attributes) was also taken as *taqiyah* for the same reason, since their view, in its reality, is the same as that of the Jahmiyyah. Whilst this was the argument of the Muʿtazilah against the Jahmiyyah for denying that Allāh has the attribute of kalām established with His self, the Jahmiyyah denied Allāh speaks with letter and voice, because this necessitate tajsīm and tashbīh and Imām Aḥmad recounted their argument and rebutted it. He said: